

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-24963-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision:06.08.2021**

Harkamaldeep Singh @ Neeta

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Saurabh Arora, Advocate for the Petitioner.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

Matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

This is the second petition filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.87, dated 02.05.2021, under Sections 323/324/341/506/355/34 IPC (Sections 295/325/326 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Samrala, District Ludhiana.

Counsel has been heard at length.

It has gone uncontroverted that petitioner had earlier preferred CRM-M-21657-2021 seeking concession of anticipatory bail and the same was disposed of vide order dated 01.06.2021 in the following terms:

“This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR

No.87 dated 02.05.2021 under Sections 323, 324, 341, 506, 355 and 34 IPC (Sections 295, 325 and 326 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Samrala, District Ludhiana.

There are specific allegations against the petitioner of having inflicted Kirpan blows on the complainant namely Avtar Singh. The injuries attributed to the present petitioner have attracted offence under Section 326 IPC.

Confronted with such a situation where this Court was not inclined to grant relief, counsel seeks withdrawal of the petition.

Disposed of as withdrawn.”

Even in the present petition, counsel does not dispute that the injuries attributed to the present petitioner on the complainant-Avtar Singh have attracted offence under Section 326 IPC.

Previous petition had been disposed of as withdrawn as per statement of counsel on 01.06.2021. In spite thereof, petitioner has been evading the process of law.

In view of the conduct of the petitioner and coupled with the grievous injuries specifically attributed to him, prayer made in the instant petition is declined.

Petition is dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

06.08.2021
harjeet

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| i) Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) Whether reportable? | Yes/No |