

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-11398-2021**

**Date of Decision: 01.07.2021**

NAMEESH LAL

...PETITIONER

V/S

PUNJAB STATE OF POWER CORPORATIN LIMITED AND ORS

...RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA**

Present: Mr. J.S.Jaidka, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

**(Presence marked through Video Conference)**

-:-

**ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)**

Petitioner herein, *inter alia*, seeks issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release 23 Years Advance Promotional Increment, which became due to him on 10.03.1994.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner joined the respondent Corporation as ALM on 10.03.1971. He was promoted as LM on 14.10.1987 and retired as such on 31.03.2005 from the office of respondent No.3. He further submits that as per regulations of the Corporation, he was to be given his 23 years ACP scale on 10.03.1994 but the same has not been given to him. In the premise, he submitted many representations followed by representation dated 18.01.2021 (Annexure P-4) but to no avail. Hence, the instant petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that similar petitions i.e. ***CWP No. 24407 of 2018*** titled as ***Malkiat Singh Vs. Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others*** and other connected cases, have been disposed of vide order dated 19.12.2018, keeping in view the order passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in ***CWP No. 1013 of 2017 titled as 'Balvir***

***Singh Vs. PSPCL and another' along with other connected cases, decided on 29.11.2018.***

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, at this stage, let a final decision is taken, either way, by the respondents on the pending representation dated 18.01.2021 (Annexure P-4).

5. Given the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notice as no further proceedings and/or pleadings are required.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to look into the grievance of the petitioner as per representation dated 18.01.2021 (Annexure P-4) and also by keeping in view the contentions raised in the present petition by treating the same as supplementary representation and take a decision, in accordance with law.

7. It would be appreciated that if the competent authority shall go through the judgment relied by learned counsel for the petitioner in ***CWP No. 1013 of 2017 titled as 'Balvir Singh Vs. PSPCL and another' along with other connected cases, decided on 29.11.2018 before passing orders.***

8. Disposed of accordingly.

**(ARUN MONGA)**  
**JUDGE**

**01.07.2021**  
Vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No