

Date of Decision: 03.08.2021.

...Petitioner

VS.

...Respondent

Present: Mr. Lalit Rishi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Prayer in the petition under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentionally and willfully disobeying order dated 19.04.2021, in CWP-10075-2019.
3. Learned counsel contends that vide order dated 19.04.2021, CWP-10075-2019 was disposed of by directing the respondent to comply with their stand and consider the case of the petitioner for appointment to the post in question by granting him the benefit of 5 marks, within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.
4. Learned State counsel has placed on record copy of communication of the respondent to the DGP, Haryana, dated 25.07.2021, recommending the name of the petitioner for appointment to the post of male constable (GD), against advertisement No.3/2018, category No.1, after completion of formalities. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof

supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who states that in the circumstances, he does not press the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of as such. Likewise learned DAG prays that in the circumstances, the petition may be disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

5. I have considered the submissions of learned counsel for the parties.

6. Admittedly order dated 19.04.2021, in CWP-10075-2019, has been complied and recommendation made by the respondent to the DGP, Haryana, vide communication dated 25.07.2021, for appointing the petitioner to the post of male constable (GD), against advertisement No.3/2018, category No.1, subject to completion of formalities.

7. Accordingly, in the light of position noted above, I am of the considered view that no action is called for against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. The contempt petition is disposed of as such.

8. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

03.08.2021.
'Rajesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No