

[219] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11835-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision :03.12.2021

Birender Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Ors.Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. G.S. Bal, Senior Advocate assisted by
Mr. Nagar Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition under Articles 226 / 227 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing of impugned orders, Annexure P/1 dated 13.11.2019, Annexure P/2 dated 24.03.2020 passed by respondent No.3 and charge-sheet, Annexure P/3 dated 25.07.2020 issued by respondent No.4.

Further prayer is for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus consequent upon quashing of impugned orders, Annexures P/1 to P/3, respondents be directed to treat the petitioner on duty w.e.f. 31.05.2019 onwards along with all consequential benefits.

Still further prayer is for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents that in case departmental enquiry in pursuance of impugned charge-sheet, Annexure P/3 is required to be held, it be got conducted by an Officer of the Bureau of Investigation, Punjab not below the rank of Inspector General of Police/Deputy

Inspector General of Police etc.

[2] After arguing for some time, learned Senior counsel assisted by Mr. Nagar Singh, Advocate contends that he does not press the other prayers and would confine his prayer in the writ petition to the enquiry being conducted by respondent No.4 to be conducted in accordance with the rules applicable and being completed in a time bound manner.

[3] Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Lakhbir Singh, Incharge Civil Litigation, Office of Superintendent of Police, Patiala states that the enquiry being conducted by respondent No.4 would be conducted strictly in accordance with the rules applicable and would be concluded within four months.

[4] The same satisfies learned Senior Counsel assisted by Mr. Nagar Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

[5] Accordingly, in the light of the position noted above as well as the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any further orders except directing respondent No.4 to conclude the enquiry in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible preferably within four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

03.12.2021

'Amit'

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No.
Whether reportable :	Yes/No.