

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(119)

CWP-11421-2021

Date of Decision : July 01, 2021

Sumit

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.K. Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed by the petitioner raising a grievance that in the final selection of the Lower Division Clerk, the petitioner has not been selected though, the petitioner was fully eligible for the same having required number of marks.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was eligible under the general category as well as under the Economically Weaker Section category. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the marks secured by the petitioner in the written test was 89 and he was entitled for additional five marks on account of social economic factors, which would have taken his score to 94 and the petitioner would have made the required grade for selection.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the said grievance has already been raised by the petitioner vide his representation dated 11.06.2021 (Annexure P-9) and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this

stage, in case, a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection for deciding the representation of the petitioner in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 11.06.2021 (Annexure P-9), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the said representation dated 11.06.2021 (Annexure P-9) within a period of four weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

July 01, 2021
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No