

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(117)

CWP No. 11386 of 2021

Date of Decision : 01.07.2021

Manish Nain

....Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission and another

.....Respondents

(through video conferencing)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Digvijay Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that he has not been granted the benefit of 5 marks under Socio Economic Criteria while considering his claim for the post of Lower Divisional Clerk as advertised vide Advertisement No. 11 of 2019 dated 05.07.2019.

Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner argues that it is undisputed that in the written examination, the petitioner had obtained 89 marks, which fact has been given in writing by the respondent-Commission under the RTI but while furnishing the said information, it has been mentioned that no marks under Socio Economic Criteria has been given to the petitioner though, the petitioner had already submitted a self attested undertaking that was required for the grant of the said 5 marks.

Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner

submits that petitioner had approached the respondent-Commission on 22.06.2021 by making a representation for the grant of 5 marks and to consider his claim against the post of Lower Divisional Clerk but no reply has been furnished to him so far. Learned senior counsel submits that petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide his representation dated 22.06.2021 (Annexure P-5) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of respondents. Learned counsel for the respondents raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 22.06.2021 (Annexure P-5) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the representation dated 22.06.2021 (Annexure P-5), respondents are directed to decide the representation dated 22.06.2021 (Annexure P-5) by passing a speaking order within a period of two weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

July 01, 2021
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes/No*
Whether reportable? *Yes/No*