

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Through video-conferencing)

**CRM-M No.24454 of 2021
Date of Decision: 05.08.2021**

AMANMEET SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Jattan, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 for conducting free and fair investigation and also to make arrest of respondents No.4 to 7 in FIR No.0159 dated 26.10.2020 under Sections 420/465/467/471/494/120-B IPC at Police Station Sadar Jagadhari, District Yamuna Nagar and also to attach passports of respondents No.4 and 5 with a further direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to take legal action against

respondents No.4 to 7 who are involved in threatening the petitioner and his family members.

On 20.07.2021, learned counsel for respondent No.4 pointed out interlocutory orders passed by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jagadhri on the application filed by the petitioner for calling the status report in the aforesaid case from the SHO.

Today, learned counsel for respondent No.4 submitted that the concerned SHO has filed two status reports before the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jagadhri. Now the case is fixed for 02.11.2021 before the said Court. By filing the present petition, the factum of pendency of the case before the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jagadhri has been concealed and the petitioner has tried to mislead the Court for obtaining some order so as to prejudice the proceedings before the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jagadhri.

Perusal of the record would show that the petitioner has not averred anything about pending proceedings before the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jagadhri. In my considered opinion, the subject matter of the present petition is also covered in the pending proceedings before the said Magistrate. No indulgence can be granted in the present petition as the petitioner cannot invoke two remedies simultaneously for the

same and alternative relief. Petitioner, if so advised, may pursue his remedy before the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jagadhri in accordance with law. I refrain myself from taking any cognizance on the concealment part at this stage.

In view of above, the present petition is dismissed.

August 05, 2021	(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
<i>Atik</i>	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No