

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
(through video conferencing)**

CRM-M-24455-2021(O&M)
Decided on : 23.07.2021

Amit

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ajay Kumar Gupta, Advocate,
for the complainant.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.

Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr. P.C. for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.56 dated 04.05.2021, registered under Sections 304-B, 354, 498-A, 406 and 34 IPC, 1860 at Police Station Bond Kalan, District Charkhi Dadri.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not even in his native village when his wife ended her life by hanging. While inviting the attention of this Court to the allegations levelled in the FIR, he submits that totally vague allegations have been levelled against not only the petitioner but his other family members as well. He submits that even if the allegations levelled against the

against his father of trying to molest his deceased wife and the only allegation against him is of failing to come to the rescue of his deceased wife when the aforementioned fact was brought to his notice.

Learned counsel appearing for the complainant submits that the FIR in question was registered by the uncle of the deceased since her father, who is a soldier in the Army, was not present at the time of alleged occurrence. However, no sooner the father of the deceased returned on learning about the death of his daughter, he made a supplementary statement, wherein he gave a detailed account of the harassment which was being meted out to his deceased daughter. Learned counsel for the petitioner has also invited the attention of this Court to the supplementary statement of the mother of the deceased as well, wherein she categorically stated that on the preceding night of the occurrence, the petitioner had been continuously telephoning her daughter from his place of posting in Mumbai and pressurizing her to get a CRETA car and had used abusive language during the conversation.

Learned State counsel has also opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by urging that serious allegations have been levelled against him in the FIR as well as in the supplementary statements made by parents of the deceased.

Heard. Prima facie there are serious and specific allegations

levelled against the petitioner for which he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

23.07.2021

monika

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable :

Yes/No