

**108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR-1233of 2021(O&M)
Date of decision: 12.07.2021**

Sapna and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Sanjeev Khanna

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Ms.Bhavna Kapur, Advocate, for the petitioners
 Mr. Vivek K Thakur, Advocate for the respondent

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The hearing of the case was held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

The plaintiffs are the petitioners in this revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. The petitioners have filed a suit for possession by way of specific performance of the agreement to sell dated 23.10.2019. They have also filed an application for grant of temporary injunction restraining the defendant-respondent from alienating the suit property. Both the courts have dismissed the application.

Learned counsel representing the petitioners has submitted that the respondent-defendant admits his thumb impression after receipt of Rs.16,00,000/-. She, hence, contends that the petitioners have a prima facie case and 'the balance of convenience' is also in their favour.

Sh. Vivek Thakur, Advocate, enters appearance for the respondent and contends that the respondent-defendant does not intend

to alienate the property during the pendency of the suit.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the prayer made in the revision petition has become infructuous. However, the respondent shall remain bound by the stand taken by his counsel before this Court.

With these observations, the revision petition is disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

12.07.2021
rekha

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No