

CRWP No. 6022 of 2021

Date of Decision: 15.09.2021

Sunita

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

On 02.08.2021, the following order had been passed by this
court:-

“Case heard via video conferencing.

*Pursuant to the last order passed, a reply
of the Superintendent of Police, Mahindergarh, dated
29.07.2021, has been filed, which is ordered to be taken
on record.*

*Though learned State counsel points to the
last paragraph thereof, to submit that the petitioners'
house was under surveillance to ensure that she is given
adequate protection, however learned counsel for the
petitioner submits that no action whatsoever was taken
against respondent no.8 as regards having entered the
house of the petitioner illegally (as alleged), and that he
would like to argue the matter on the merits thereof.*

Adjourned to 15.09.2021.

To be shown in the urgent motion list.

*In the meanwhile, the life and liberty of the
petitioner shall be duly protected as is her right in terms
of Article 21 of the Constitution of India.”*

Today, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a compromise having been effected between the petitioner and respondents no. 6 to 8, she no longer needs protection and therefore seeks to withdraw this petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

September 15, 2021
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No.