

Date of Decision:04.08.2021

Kuldeep KumarPetitioner
Vs.
State of HaryanaRespondent

Karamjeet SinghPetitioner
Vs.
State of HaryanaRespondent

Present: Mr.R.S.Chauhan, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-24689-2021.

Mr. L.M.Gulati, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-2630-2021.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

In both these petitions, the petitioners were admitted to interim bail in the context of FIR no.126, dated 13.03.2021, having been registered at Police Station Azad Nagar, Hisar, alleging therein the commission of an offence punishable under the provisions of Section 379 IPC, vide orders dated 01.07.2021 and 12.07.2021.

Learned State counsel submits, on instructions from Inspector Gurmeet Singh, that the petitioners have joined investigation and presently at least their custodial interrogation is not required.

That being so, with no comment made on the actual merits of the case, these petitions have actually been rendered infructuous and are disposed of as such.

However, if the petitioners' custodial interrogation is required at any stage hereinafter, in the context of the FIR in question, they would be given 10 days notice before arrest, duly shown to be served upon them.

Naturally, if the complainant is aggrieved in any manner, of lack of proper investigation, he would be always at liberty to avail of his remedy as per law.

[It is to be noticed that due to a typographical error in the order dated 01.07.2021, passed in CRM-M-24689-2021, the offence alleged to have been committed has erroneously been shown to be one punishable under Section 349 of the IPC]

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.

August 04, 2021

dharamvir

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO