

202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-24338-2021
Date of decision-29.11.2021

AMRINDER SINGH

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Jagat Vir Dhinda, Advocate for
Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.450 dated 02.10.2020, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 474, 198 & 120-B Indian Penal Code, 1860 & Section 12(I)(B) Passport Act, 1967 registered at Police Station City Tohana, District Fatehabad. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police, in the above FIR.

On 02.07.2021 this Court was passed the following order :-

Learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out that the present FIR descends from FIR No.360 dated 20.08.2020 under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 474, 198, 201 and 120-B IPC, Section 12(i) (B) Passport Act, 1967, Sections 7, 8, 12 Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 and Sections 66-C and 66-D Information Technology Act, 2000 registered at Police Station City Tohana, District Fatehabad and

in the first FIR, the concession of interim pre arrest bail has been extended to him. He has further placed reliance upon the order dated 19.04.2021 (Annexure P-5), whereby co-accused, namely, Prabhjeet Singh @ Shelly was granted interim pre arrest bail in case FIR No.450 dated 02.10.2020 under Sections 120-B, 420, 467, 468, 471, 474 and 198 IPC and Section 12(i)(B) Passport Act, 1967 registered at Police Station City Tohana.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and on instructions from Inspector Inderjeet has pointed out that the case of the petitioner is distinguishable as he himself got prepared his own passport fraudulently by giving some different address etc.

Adjourned to 08.07.2021.”

After hearing the learned counsels for the parties, the petitioner was directed to join the investigation vide order dated 15.07.2021.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Inspector Inderjeet Singh states that indeed the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 15.07.2021 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

29.11.2021

<i>Vipin kumar</i>	Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
	Whether Reportable :	Yes	No