

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

(237)

CRM-M-24457-2021

Date of Decision : October 28, 2021

Varinder Singh @ Bunty

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

(Through Video Conferencing)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Ms. Harpreet Kaur Maini, Advocate, for  
Mr. Sumeet Sagar Maini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Aulakh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)**

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in respect of FIR No.195 dated 13.08.2019 registered under Sections 379-A, 379-B, 382, 411 and 201 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station City Faridkot, District Faridkot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been roped in the present case on the basis of the disclosure statement of the co-accused and as the petitioner is behind the bars since long, he be extended the benefit of regular bail.

Custody certificate of the petitioner has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State, on the other hand, submits that in the present case, the petitioner along with the co-accused snatched the fire arm along with the cartridges, arm licence as well as other documents alongwith money, which the complainant was carrying.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State further submits that the voter card as well as arm licence have been recovered from the petitioner. Learned counsel for the respondent-State further submits that the petitioner is a habitual offender involved in number of cases though, in some of the cases, he has been acquitted.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is involved in number of cases shows that he is a habitual offender. The allegations against the petitioner and the co-accused are serious in nature where, they have dispossessed the complainant of his valuables including the firearm, cartridges, money and other documents.

Prima facie, the petitioner is repeating the offences after being granted bail in other cases. There is a likelihood that the petitioner may continue with the similar activities even after the grant of bail in this case, which will not be in favour of the general public.

Keeping in view the said fact, no ground is made out to grant the benefit of regular bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

It is made clear that nothing observed herein shall be construed to be an expression of any opinion on the merits of the case.

**October 28, 2021**  
*harsha*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes  
Whether reportable : No