

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

**CR-1201-2021(O&M)
Date of Order: 30.11.2021**

KAILASH

..Petitioner

Versus

PARMILA

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Ajay Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The Family Court has ordered the petitioner (husband) to pay maintenance at the rate of Rs.3,000/- per month to his wife, Rs.2,000/- to the daughter and Rs.2,000/- to the son Divanshu.

The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that a petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, has been decided in favour of the petitioner and, therefore, the petitioner is prepared to allow the respondents to stay with him.

In the considered view of the Court, the amount of maintenance is not dependant upon the willingness of the parties to stay with the husband or not. The amount of maintenance *pendente lite* is dependant upon the facts and circumstances of each case.

Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

November 30, 2021

Ay

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No