

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

224

CRM-M-24713-2021 (O & M)

Date of Decision: July 28, 2021

HARJEET KAUR AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr.Paramjit Singh Sullar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.104 dated 11.08.2017, under Sections 323, 324, 452, 506, 34 IPC (Section 302 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Panjokhra, District Ambala.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioner No.1 is the wife of petitioner No.2. The complainant is the sister of petitioner No.1 and the deceased is the husband of complainant and brother of petitioner No.2. The alleged incident took place on account of dispute over a Galgal tree. Petitioner No.1 had also received 04 injuries including the injury on the head. A copy of the MLR is annexed as Annexure P-6. It is alleged that petitioner No.1 had given a gandasi blow on the left foot of the deceased but in the MLR there is no injury on the foot. Petitioner No.2 is alleged to have given a solitary blow with an iron rod on the head of the deceased. Petitioner No.1 is 58 year old lady while petitioner No.2 is 62 year old. The petitioners are in custody for about 04 years since their arrest on 13.08.2017. He also contends that due to Covid-19 pandemic, the trial has come to stand still. They are not involved in any other criminal case.

Learned State counsel upon instructions states that all the prosecution witnesses have been examined and the next date fixed before the trial Court is 09.08.2021.

Heard.

In view of the above, especially when the petitioners are in custody for almost 04 years, they are not involved in any other criminal case, Covid 19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioners.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. The petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail on their furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

July 28, 2021
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No