IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

244 **CRM-M-24232-2021**
Date of decision : 12.08.2021.

VIRENDER @ TINDA AND ORS

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Ishant Khangwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kirpal Singh Thakur, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Harshita, Advocate for respondent No.2.

***

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.558 dated 13.12.2020, under Sections 109, 201, 307, 323, 324, 506, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Old Sabji Mandi, District Rohtak, on the basis of compromise dated 24.03.2021 (Annexure P-2), which has been arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the FIR is outcome of a sudden quarrel between the parties who are residing in the same locality. It is alleged that the petitioners had given knife blows to the complainant. However, the injuries were found to be simple in nature. The complainant has fully recovered from the injuries and the matter has been compromised. He has referred to the copy of the compromise at Annexure P-2.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 states that the matter has indeed been compromised.

SONIA GUGNANI
2021.08.12 17:34
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

This Court vide order dated 06.07.2021 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements and send a report as to whether the compromise has been arrived at without any coercion or undue influence. The report of the Additional District and Sessions Judge, Rohtak, dated 20.07.2021 has been received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded which indicates that compromise which has been effected is genuine, voluntary and without undue influence.

The FIR is outcome of a sudden quarrel between the residents of same locality. The injuries are simple in nature, the complainant has fully recovered from the injuries and the matter has now been compromised. In view of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in the case of **Narinder Singh vs. State of Punjab 2014 (6) SCC 466,** no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.558 dated 13.12.2020, under Sections 109, 201, 307, 323, 324, 506, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Old Sabji Mandi, District Rohtak and all consequential proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

August 12, 2021
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No

SONIA GUGNANI
2021.08.12 17:34
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document