

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

213

CRM-M-24261-2021

DATE OF DECISION: 06.10.2021

RAJEEV SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Upender Prashar, Advocate for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.73 dated 25.03.2021, under Sections 307, 323, 324, 148, 149 IPC read with Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Kamboj, District Amritsar Rural.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR is an outcome of a sudden quarrel between the parties in which simple injuries were caused. The matter has been compromised with the injured Vishal.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Amar Singh, contends that the petitioner was armed with a datar and has caused injuries on the ear of the injured Vishal and on the head of the injured Sonu.

Learned counsel for the complainant contends that the petitioner has caused serious injuries on the ear of the injured Vishal and on the head of the injured Sonu, therefore, he is not entitled the concession of anticipatory bail.

Heard.

In view of the serious allegations having caused injuries with a ‘datar’ on the head of the injured Sonu and on the ear of the injured Vishal, I do not deem it appropriate to grant concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

06.10.2021
SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No