

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24061-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.09.2021

Yograj

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Ramnish Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurbir Singh Dhillon, AAG Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

This is the second petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 134 dated 14.06.2020, under Sections 379-A IPC (Section 411 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sadar Dadri, District Charkhi Dadri.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not named in the FIR, but was indicted on the basis of a disclosure statement of co-accused Monu, who has already been granted regular bail by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Charkhi Dadri, vide order dated 21.07.2020. No specific role has been attributed to the petitioner. Moreover, four other co-accused Sanjeet @ Sanju, Sagar, Rakesh and Deepak @ Tabar are also on bail.

He further submits that as far as two other cases registered against the petitioner are concerned, he stands released on bail in those cases. The petitioner has been in custody since 25.08.2020.

Learned State counsel while opposing the prayer for bail, submits that there are serious allegations against the petitioner, therefore, he is not entitled to the concession of the bail. The Registration and Pollution Certificates of the stolen vehicle were recovered from the petitioner. He, however, does not dispute the custody period of the petitioner.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has been in custody since 25.08.2020. Though the case is at the stage of evidence, yet the trial will take time to conclude, especially due to prevailing situation of Covid-19. Thus, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

27.09.2021
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No