

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5810-2021

Date of Decision: 25.06.2021

Ruksana and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Rehan, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Godara, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 and 5 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5. The certificate evidencing their Nikha as well as photographs are on record as Annexure P-3 and P-4. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 21.06.2021 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural), Jalandhar.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3-State only.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Avtar Singh Sandhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the present petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural), Jalandhar to decide the representation dated 21.06.2021 (Annexure P-5) moved by the petitioners, and grant protection to them, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

25.06.2021
ishwar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No