

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-24041-2021
Date of decision: 05.07.2021**

Rahul Bisht

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Ranbir Singh Rawat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

(through video conferencing)

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of direction to official respondents to carry fair and impartial investigation thereby adding Sections 307, 452, 342 of the IPC in FIR No. 306 dated 22.05.2021, registered at Police Station Zirakpur under Sections 323, 341, 34 of the IPC (Sections 325, 506, 148, 149 of the IPC added later on).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with one Rekha d/o Mohan Singh Dhanai on 09.07.2011 and one son, namely Aarav Bisht, was born of this wedlock on 16.10.2012. Learned counsel further submits that thereafter a matrimonial dispute arises between them as the wife, who was working in HVPN, Haryana at Panchkula on contract basis was to leave the job and stay at Delhi, however, she backed out. Learned counsel has also given some details of minor trifles which occurred during the matrimonial life of the petitioner.

Learned counsel further submits that on 22.05.2021, the petitioner was again beaten by his in laws and four persons, namely Kalyan Singh, Pratham Rawat, Dinesh Rawat and Anita Negi (wife), were nominated and later on, on the supplementary statement of the petitioner, one Vikram Bisht was also nominated as accused. The first set of accused were arrested on 13.06.2021 and accused Vikram Bisht was arrested on 20.06.2021 they are on bail and now this petition has been filed for further investigation and to add Sections 307, 452, 342 of the IPC.

Learned State counsel submits that *challan* qua all the accused is ready and will be presented before the Illaqua Magistrate soon in due course of law.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and in view of the fact that no MLR/opinion of the medical board has been filed along with the present petition to support that any of the injury was ever declared dangerous to life, this petition is disposed of.

However, liberty is granted to petitioner to approach the trial Court by moving an appropriate application at appropriate stage for redressal of his grievances, in accordance with law.

05.07.2021

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No