

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 11219 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 28.06.2021

Jagson Construction Ltd.

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE.**

Present:- Ms. Madhu Dayal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Ms. Lavanya Paul, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.
Mr. Sunil Chahda, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Akashdeep Sethi, Advocate, for the caveator-respondent.
Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate,
for the Amritsar Improvement Trust.

(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual Court).

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE (oral)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner against rejection of its technical bid which had been submitted pursuant to the tender notice issued by the respondent authorities on the ground that the petitioner does not fulfill the necessary eligibility conditions relating to the bid capacity.

The petitioner has also challenged the order of awarding the tender to respondent No.4 on the ground that respondent No.4 and infact even respondents No. 5 and 6, who are declared to be technically qualified, were non responsive as per the tender conditions. Thus, the tender could not be allotted to respondent No.4.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent-Amritsar Improvement Trust submits that respondent No.4 is a successful tenderer and justifiable reasons have been assigned in the impugned order as to why the technical bid submitted by the petitioner was rejected. However, he submits that the respondent-authorities shall still consider the issues/concerns raised by the petitioner and pass a detailed order, at the earliest and before formally assigning the work order to the successful tenderer, which shall be communicated to the petitioner.

In view of the aforesaid statement made by leaned counsel appearing for the Amritsar Improvement Trust and without entering into the merits of the case, the petition filed by the petitioner stands disposed of.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

28.06.2021
ravinder

Whether speaking reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No