

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 5847 of of 2021

DATE OF DECISION :- June 25, 2021

Saiyam Jindal and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAN

Present:- Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

The case has been taken up through Video Conferencing.

It is stated that petitioner No.1 – Saiyam Jindal, aged about 24 years has got married with petitioner No.2 – Ruby Jindal, aged about 34 years against wishes of his family members, arrayed as respondents No. 4 to 8, who are threatening to interfere in their marital life, as such to seek protection in that regard, they have approached this Court by way of filing the present petition. It is further stated that the petitioners had submitted a written representation to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala dated 11.06.2021 seeking protection, copy of the same being Annexure P-7 without evoking any response so far.

Notice of motion.

Mr. J.S. Ghumman, DAG, Punjab has accepted notice on behalf of State of Punjab.

The petition is disposed of directing respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, to look into the representation Annexure P-7, assess threat perception to the petitioners and if he finds that some

action in the matter is warranted, then the needful be done in accordance with law. However, this order shall not validate the marriage between the petitioners and shall not have any effect on any civil or criminal action to which the petitioners may be found liable, under the law.

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

June 25, 2021
p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No