

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5754-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.06.2021

Anisha Goel and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Petitioners in person with
Mr. Arvind Kumar Yadav, Advocate.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 7 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7. The certificate and photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3

and P-4, respectively. Petitioner No. 1 has submitted a representation dated 19.06.2021 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police, Mewat.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

On the asking of this Court, Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No. 2- Superintendent of Police, Mewat to decide the representation dated 19.06.2021 (Annexure P-5) of petitioner No. 1 and grant protection to the petitioners, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

24.06.2021
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No