

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.11227 of 2021
Date of Decision:29.06.2021**

Sanjeev Aggarwal

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: - Mr.Arav Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shubhra Singh, Addl. A.G. Haryana.
(keeping in view the advance copy given)

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the Tribunal while exercising jurisdiction under the Parents and Senior Citizens Maintenance and Welfare Act, 2007, has passed impugned order on 07.04.2021 (Annexure P-6), directing the petitioner to evict the shop in question. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner drafted the appeal on 06.05.2021 along with an application for stay and tried to file the same before the appellate authority but due to Covid restrictions, the same was not accepted by the appellate authority. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is being caused prejudice on the account that respondent No.3 is forcing the implementation of the order passed by the Tribunal dated 07.04.2021 whereas, the appeal of the petitioner against the said order has not been entertained so far.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Shubhra Singh, Addl. A.G. Haryana, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and submits that she has instructions from the Deputy Commissioner that now the office of Deputy Commissioner is working with more relaxations and the petitioner is free to file the appeal, if any, against the impugned order dated 07.04.2021 and as and when the same is preferred, the same shall be entertained, in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the statement given by the learned State counsel satisfies the grievance of the petitioner, at this stage, and therefore, the petitioner be given liberty to approach the appellate authority for filing the appeal against the impugned order dated 07.04.2021 passed by the Tribunal. He submits that he does not want to press this petition any further.

Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

29.06.2021

Vivek

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No