

CRM-M-19284-2020

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19284-2020 (O & M)

Date of decision: 24.08.2021

Ankuj

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Chirag Kundu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Prakash Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

CRM-10470-2021

Allowed as prayed for. Annexure P-4 is taken on record,
subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-M-19284-2020

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.684 dated 24.09.2019, registered at Police Station Shivaji Colony, Rohtak, under Sections 302, 201, 506, 427 and 34 IPC and Sections 3 and 4 of the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner contends that the only role attributed to the petitioner is catching hold of Jatin. However, said Jatin while appearing before the trial Court as PW 1 on 23.10.2020, specifically stated that he had seen the accused (petitioner) through video

CRM-M-19284-2020

conferencing, but he was not among the persons, who had murdered Amardeep and threatened him (Jatin). The petitioner has been in custody since 24.09.2019.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner. He also states that out of 25 prosecution witnesses, 02 witnesses have already been examined.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has been in custody since 24.09.2019. Out of 25 prosecution witnesses, only 02 witnesses have been examined. Trial is unlikely to conclude any time soon. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

24.08.2021.

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No