

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-6120-2021

Date of Decision: 06.07.2021

Dr. Farooq Masih

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Bhavesh Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Through Video Conferencing

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of Mandamus for directing respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondent Nos. 3 to 7, so that he may not become a victim of the aggression made by the private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that on 04.06.2021 at about 10:45 A.M. three persons in connivance with one owner of Cheema Medical Store trespassed into the property of Anglican Mission i.e. Kabristan, near Qadian Octri, Batala which has been duly controlled and managed by some mission since 2007 and manhandled him and made mockery of religious dresses publically and has abused the petitioner. He submitted that the petitioner has been threatened by them that they will kill him. He submitted that the matter was

reported to the police on the helpline but no action was taken. Thereafter the representation was also filed vide Annexure P-1 and in support of the same a registered post receipt is also attached along with Annexure P-1. However, no action has been taken in this regard.

Notice to motion to respondent Nos. 1 to 3 only.

Mr. Randhir Singh Thind, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the aforesaid respondents and states that he has no objection in case the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 consider the representation (Annexure P-1) filed by the petitioner in accordance with law.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

This Court does not wish to go into the merits of the controversy inter-se between the petitioner and the private respondents but this Court is satisfied that this petition can be disposed of at this stage without even calling for reply from the official respondents since the scope of the petition is confined only for protection of life of petitioners.

Consequently, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the representation (Annexure P-1) which has been filed by way of registered post and in case so required to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

Let the needful be done within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.

06.07.2021.

rakesh

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No