

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

210

CRM-M-24007 of 2021
Date of Decision:19.07.2021

Ravinder

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Ms. Geeta Singhwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Case heard via video conferencing.

By this petition, the petitioner seeks the concession of 'regular bail' under the provisions of Section 439 of the Cr.P.C., upon FIR no.353, dated 12.10.2020, having been registered at Police Station Arya Nagar, Rohtak, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under Sections 302, 34, 392 and 394 of the IPC as also Section 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, with Section 120-B of the IPC added later.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, other than not having been named in the FIR, is also not stated to be one of the three boys who was stated to have entered the shop of the complainant and shot his brother after looting some money.

Learned State counsel, upon query, submits that the petitioner was named in a disclosure statement made by the co-accused, as one of the conspirators

in the crime and therefore he does not deserve to be admitted to bail.

However, he does not deny that the allegation against the petitioner would obviously be as regards the offence punishable under Section 120-B of the IPC.

Upon further query, he submits that the petitioner has been in custody since October 2020 and that the trial still has not commenced.

That being so, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail upon his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial court.

July 19, 2021
dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No