

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

210

CRM-M-23683 of 2021

Date of decision:4.8.2021

Shivam Giri @ Monu

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Vikas Bali, Advocate,
for the petitioner
Mr.Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Case heard via video conferencing.

By this petition, the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, upon FIR no. 64, dated 28.3.2021, having been registered at Police Station City-2, Police District Khanna, District Ludhiana, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under the provisions of Sections 307/323/324/326/506/120-B/148/149 of the IPC and Sections 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Though pursuant to the last order passed, an affidavit executed by the SSP, Police District Khanna, on 2.8.2021, has been filed and learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has not received a copy thereof, however upon learned counsel for the State submitting (on instructions from ASI Sauraj) that the petitioner has joined investigation pursuant to the order dated 7.7.2021 and presently his custodial is not required, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not require a copy of the affidavit.

That being so, the affidavit is ordered to be taken on record.

With the petition having been rendered infructuous, it is disposed of as such.

However, if the petitioners' custodial interrogation is required at any stage hereinafter, in the context of the FIR in question, he would be given 7 days notice before arrest, duly shown to be served upon them.

Naturally, if the complainant is aggrieved in any manner, of lack of proper investigation, he/she would be always at liberty to avail of his/her remedy as per law.

4.8.2021
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No