

125 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-24332-2021
Date of decision-19.08.2021

R.S.Gujral

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of U.T. and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Vikram Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S.Bakshi, Addl.P.P.U.T.Chandigarh.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C and has prayed for issuance of directions to official respondent Nos.1 and 2 to register the FIR against private respondent Nos.3 to 5 and has further prayed for quashing of the order dated 20.05.2021 (Annexure P-27), whereby his complaint No.898/2017 filed under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C was dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that Judicial Magistrate First Class, Chandigarh has erroneously dismissed the complaint filed by the complainant (petitioner) for registration of case against accused persons. He submits that the complaint relates to the alleged expenditure by the accused persons, which was beyond their financial limits, therefore, the impugned order dated 20.05.2021 deserves to be set aside and further directions be issued to the official

respondents to register the FIR to prosecute the private respondents.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court finds that the subject complaint was filed against only two respondents i.e.U.T.Chandigarh and SHO Police Station Sector-36, Chandigarh, wherein neither the accused persons have been impleaded nor any particulars regarding the alleged act or omission constituting the commission of alleged offences have been pleaded, much less any averment in the complaint to *prima facie* show commission of a cognizable offence, therefore, this Court does not find any material illegality in the impugned order dated 20.05.2021.

Consequently, petition is dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

19.08.2021

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No