

214

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5615-2021

Date of Decision: 25.06.2021

Poonam Devi and another

Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Karan Padam, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Monika Jalota, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

This petition was filed for issuance of directions to the official respondents for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from the private respondents No. 4 to 6 arrayed in the petition.

As per the pleadings, the petitioners had performed marriage against the wishes of their parents and there was a threat perception.

On 21st June, 2021, the following order was passed:-

"The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab, appearing on advance notice accepts the same and seeks time to

have instructions.

Put up on 25.6.2021.

In the meantime, the police officials not below the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police should record the statements of the petitioners.

In case a request is made by the petitioners, they may be provided shelter in safe house till the next date.”

Learned State counsel has sent the reply of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sri Anandpur Sahib, through electronic medium, print out of the same is taken on record. It is stated therein that statements of petitioners have been recorded and there is no threat perception and requirement of police protection.

In view of reply sent, no further directions are called for.

The petition is disposed of as infructuous.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

25th June, 2021

pankaj barweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No