

128+204+230 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-23569-2021
DECIDED ON: 26th JULY, 2021

BALWANT SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

AND

CRM-M-25847-2021

KARTAR SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Parvesh Sachdeva, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

[1] The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference
due to COVID-19 situation.

CRM-22052-2021

[2] This is an application for placing on record the compromise
deed dated 9th July, 2021 as Annexure P-2.

[3] Notice in the application to the non-applicant.

[4] Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab appearing on advance notice accepts the same and submits that she has no objection in case the present application is allowed.

[5] Application is allowed, as prayed for.

[6] Annexure P-2 is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

Main petitions

[7] These two petitions are filed by Balwant Singh and Kartar Singh seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 57, dated 27th May, 2021, under Section 307, 427 read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Arniwala, District Fazilka.

[8] As per the contents of the FIR, there was a dispute between the family members with regard to the parking of tractor. The matter turned ugly and injuries were sustained by some of the members.

[9] Good sense prevailed over the parties, they have bridged their differences. In view of the factual background and later development it is not a case where custodial interrogation is required.

[10] The petitioners are granted anticipatory bail subject to their joining investigation within one week. In the event of arrest, they shall be released on bail subject to their furnishing adequate bail bonds to the satisfaction of the investigating officer. They are directed to join investigation as and when called for. They shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

[11] The petitions are allowed.

[12] In case of failure of the petitioners to join the investigation, the State would be at liberty to file an application for recalling of this

order.

[13] A copy of this order be placed on the file of another connected
petition.

26th JULY, 2021
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>