

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23458-2021

Date of Decision: 18.06.2021.

GURMEET SINGH

.....Petitioner

V/s.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL.

(Through Video Conferencing)

Present: Mr.K.S. Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate,
for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.38, dated 24.03.2021, lodged under Section 406, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Sections 379 and 411 of the IPC, 1860 added later on) registered at Police Station City Rampura, District Bathinda, Punjab.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the it is a case of false implication in as much as the petitioner was neither named in the FIR in question nor attributed any role. His alleged role came to light during the investigation of the co-accused. He further submits that the petitioner is a man of clean antecedents in as much as he is not involved in any other case of similar nature.

Per contra, learned State counsel as well as the learned counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed the prayer and submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner. It has been brought to the notice of this Court that the petitioner is in fact a man of criminal antecedents, inasmuch as, he is involved in two other criminal cases of similar nature.

It is, thus, evident that there has been concealment and misrepresentation of facts in the instant petition and the petitioner has tried to play a fraud upon this Court. In the circumstances, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

Petition stands dismissed.

June 18, 2021

Ess Kay

**[MANJARI NEHRU KAUL]
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes /No.</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>