

208

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.23512 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.08.2021

Ramesh Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. K.S. Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.40 dated 22.02.2021 registered under Sections 22-C/61/85 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (in short 'the NDPS Act') at Police Station Nathusari Chopta, District Sirsa.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the FIR was registered on the complaint given by ASI Jasbir Singh that while on a patrol duty, a person was seen coming on a motorcycle and on seeing, the police party, he became perplexed and tried to turn back his motorcycle, however, his motorcycle slipped due to which the plastic bag fell on the road. Then, he was apprehended and disclosed his name as Chunni Ram @ Sandeep resident of Rajasthan. Thereafter, by giving a notice under Section 50 of the NDPS Act, he was searched and recovery of 8460 intoxicant tablets was effected from him. During the investigation, he made a disclosure statement that he has purchased the

CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

contraband from the petitioner – Ramesh Kumar, who is also resident of Rajasthan.

Counsel for the State has argued that Chunni Ram @ Sandeep was granted the concession of interim regular bail on 22.03.2021, noticing certain circumstances.

It was noticed in the said order that apart from 02 FIRs i.e. FIR No.40 and FIR No.41, which was registered on the same day, he was not having any previous history of involvement in any other case.

Counsel for the State has also argued that the petitioner is involved in one more FIR under the NDPS Act at Rajasthan and therefore, he is repeatedly indulged in the sale of narcotics substance.

After hearing the counsel for the parties, finding the fact that the petitioner is involved in one more FIR in Rajasthan under the NDPS Act, I find no ground to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

02.08.2021
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No