

**CRM-M-23445-2021 and  
CRM-M-23489-2021**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**Date of decision:31.08.2021**

**1. CRM-M-23445-2021 (O & M)**

Sanjeev Thakur alias Sanjay Thakur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**AND**

**2. CRM-M-23489-2021**

Anjali Thakur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL**

Present:- Mr. Gurpreet Singh Dhillon, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Avtar Singh Sandhu, Addl. A.G.Punjab.

Mr. Varun Sharma, Advocate,  
for the complainant.

**HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)**

Both the cases are taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

**CRM-26524-2021 in CRM-M-23445-2021**

Allowed as prayed for. Annexure R-6 is taken on record,  
subject to all just exceptions.

**Main Petitions:**

Through these petitions, the petitioners seek anticipatory bail  
in case bearing FIR No.17 dated 01.02.2021, registered at Police Station

**CRM-M-23445-2021 and  
CRM-M-23489-2021**

Dasuya, District Hoshiarpur, under Sections 420, 506 and 120-B IPC.

At the outset, learned State counsel submits that after a thorough verification conducted by ASI Satnam Singh, in pursuance of the directions issued by this Court, the letters dated 23.05.2019 and 18.05.2019 (Annexures P-6 and P-7 in CRM-M-23445-2021) had been found to be forged. He also submits that during verification, the President Holy Faith Educational and Welfare Society told ASI Satnam Singh that the petitioners had also cheated him, but he did not make any complaint to the police. With regard to Annexure P-7, learned State counsel points out that there is no such hotel in the name of Hotel Royal View Regency village Bhajoi, P.O. Manali, Tehsil Manali, District Kullu. He also submits that vide joint statement dated 01.02.2020 (Annexure R-1) attached with the short reply, the accused had admitted their guilt and liability and agreed to return the amount to the complainant.

When this Court was not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he does not rely upon the document Annexure P-6.

Keeping in view the fact that the documents relied upon by the petitioners are found to be fake, this Court finds that the petitioners have not approached this Court with clean hands and thus, are not entitled to the relief of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

**31.08.2021**

parveen kumar

**(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)  
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No