

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-5569-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.06.2021**

Guramandeep and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Ravinderjeet Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 10.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are working together in a garment factory. Petitioner No.2 is major, but petitioner No. 1, though major, is not of a marriageable age. They would perform the marriage after attaining the marriageable age by petitioner No. 1. He further submits that respondents No. 4 to 10 are against their relationship.

He further submits that the parents of petitioner No. 1 were

informed, but they are issuing threats to petitioner No. 2. The petitioners have already submitted a representation dated 15.06.2021 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No. 2-Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, and respondent No. -3, for redressal of their grievance, but no action has been taken thereon.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners are living in a constant danger as they have every apprehension that the private respondents would carry out their threats and might go to the extent of eliminating them. The petitioners are running from pillar to post for protection of their life and liberty.

Notice of motion to the respondents No.1 to 3 only.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Avtar Singh Sandhu, Addl. AG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Article 21 of the Constitution stipulates protection of life and liberty to every citizen and that no person shall be deprived of his life and personal liberty except according to procedure established by law.

It is the bounden duty of the State as per the Constitutional obligations cast upon it to protect the life and liberty of every citizen. Mere fact that petitioner No. 1 is not of a marriageable age would not deprive the petitioners of their fundamental right as envisaged in the Constitution, being citizens of India.

In view of the above discussion, I dispose of the present petition with a direction to respondent No. 2-Commissioner of Police,

Ludhiana, to decide the representation dated 15.06.2021 (Annexure P-3), moved by the petitioners in accordance with law and grant protection to them, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law if any committed by them.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

22.06.2021
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No