

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23771-2021

Date of decision:02.08.2021

Sibiya Arora

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Prakash Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Hooda, Advocate for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.109 dated 22.03.2021 registered under Sections 406, 420, 34 IPC (Sections 180, 472 and 506 IPC added later on) at Police Station Faridabad Kotwali, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter has been compromised between the petitioner as well as the complainant.

Learned State counsel, on specific instructions from ASI Pardeep Kumar, as well as learned counsel for the complainant does not dispute the factum of the compromise.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court finds merit in this petition and the same is allowed. The petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and if he

is sought to be arrested, he shall be released on anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions as envisaged in Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

It is made clear that if pursuant to and in compliance with this order, the petitioner does not cooperate with the investigating agency, the investigating agency would be liberty to move an appropriate application for cancellation of bail.

02.08.2021
ishwar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No