

CRM-M- 23602-2021 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M- 23602-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 29.06.2021

Pawanpreet Singh alias Pawan

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J.(Oral)

This is the second petition filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 55 dated 26.12.2020 under Sections 323, 324, 326, 379-B, 34 IPC (Section 379-B deleted) registered at Police Station G.R. P. Jalandhar, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that there is a discrepancy in the MLR and the FIR insofar as the injury described in the FIR is on the back of the head whereas in the MLR, the injury is described to be an incised wound 4 cm x 1cm on the forehead just above the lateral half, above the left eyebrow along with a lacerated wound 5 cm x 1 cm on top of head, on right side, 12 cms above the right ear.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and found no ground to entertain the second bail application. The allegations in the FIR are specific to the extent that the complainant and his brother were

CRM-M- 23602-2021 (O&M)

travelling in a car and came to stand at a railway crossing as the same was closed. One swift car was also parked behind the car of the complainant. As the railway crossing got opened the swift car behind the car of the complainant could not move as there was another car parked in front of their car. |The complainant came out of his vehicle and asked the driver to move the vehicle and in the meantime went to answer the call of nature when he received a blow on the back of his head from the petitioner herein. The complainant received an injury which has been attributed to the petitioner herein allegedly without any provocation. The brother of the complainant also suffered baseball injuries from assailant- Harjinder Pal.

The first bail application was dismissed on 16.03.2021 after learned counsel for the petitioner had argued for some time. Since then no circumstances appears to have changed to enable this Court to entertain the present petition.

The petition stands dismissed accordingly.

29.06.2021

seema

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes.
Whether reportable	No.