

CRWP No.5526 of 2021 -1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.220

CRWP No.5526 of 2021

DATE OF DECISION: 05.08.2021

Krishan Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Ms. Kulbir Singh Sekhon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Samina Dhir, D.A.G., Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 3.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner herein seeks the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.1 to 3 to protect her life and liberty as she apprehends threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8 and also for not harassing her on the pretext of some false criminal complaint, if any, lodged by the private respondents. She has further prayed for directing the official respondents to decide her representation (Annexure P-2).

Bereft of unnecessary details, the averments, as canvassed by the petitioner in this petition, are that she was married to respondent No.4 about five years ago but her husband is addicted to drugs and also indulges

in gambling and he as well as his family members used to harass her and due to this reason, she had to leave her matrimonial home. Respondents No.5, 6, 7 and 8 happen to be the cousin of her husband, her mother-in-law, the uncle of her husband and her own father respectively. One Mandeep Singh was having sympathy with her but the private respondents alleged that she was having illicit relations with him. On 08.06.2021, these respondents visited the house of said Mandeep Singh and tried to forcibly take her away along-with them but however, they could not succeed in doing so due to the intervention of the neighbours.

Respondents No.1 to 3, in their short reply filed by way of the affidavit of Senior Superintendent of Police, District Faridkot, have categorically mentioned that during the inquiry as conducted by the Station House Officer, Police Station Sadiq, both the parties were summoned to the Police Station and their statements had also been recorded and the petitioner stated that she had eloped with said Mandeep Singh about 20/25 days ago and then, a compromise was effected between her and her husband due to the intervention of the respectables and she had joined the company of her husband and was living happily in her matrimonial home and was having no apprehension of any kind from the private respondents. The translated as well as the vernacular versions of the statements of the petitioner as well as her husband, i.e respondent No.4-Gurpreet Singh, have also been annexed with the said short reply as Annexures R-1/T and R-2/T and Annexures R-1 and R-2 respectively.

In view of the above-discussed facts and circumstances, it is

explicit that the cause of action does not survive any more in favour of the petitioner to proceed further with the present petition.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands disposed of accordingly.

August 05, 2021
mani

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>