

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(Proceedings through Video Conferencing)

CRM-M No.23478 of 2021

Date of Decision: 24.08.2021

Harjeet Singh @ Harijit

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWANPresent: Mr. Fatehjeet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.P. Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner pray for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.78 dated 22.04.2021, registered under Sections 323, 458, 427, 506, 148 & 149 IPC at Police Station Nakodar Sadar, District Jalandhar, Punjab.

The operative part of the order dated 18.06.2021, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has not been named in the FIR. It is asserted that no injury has been caused to any of the persons. Counsel further states that one of the co-accused, namely, Gurpreet Singh @ Gopi, who is similarly placed, was initially granted the benefit of anticipatory bail vide order dated 04.06.2021 in CRM -M-22289 of 2021. He, therefore, prays that the petitioner may be granted the same relief.

Notice of motion ”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 18.06.2021, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating

Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Sarabjeet Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation. He further submits that challan has been presented.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 18.06.2021 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

24.08.2021
manju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No