

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 210

**CRM-M No. 18862 of 2020
Date of decision: 18.11.2021**

Sukhwinder Singh @ Kali

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent

BEFORE: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Ranbir Singh Sekhon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab
for the respondent -State.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA J. (Oral)

Custody-certificate of the petitioner, as submitted by learned State counsel in the Court, is taken on the record.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition but he prays that the petitioner is behind the bars since long and therefore, a direction be given to learned trial Court to conclude the trial and decide the case pending before it at the earliest possible.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition is hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn, with a direction to learned trial Court to conclude the trial of the case and to decide the same, preferably within a period of six months from the date of the receipt of the copy of this order.

18.11.2021
pooja

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No