

CRM-M-23266-2021

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(211)

CRM-M-23266-2021.

Date of Decision:-03.12.2021.

Jagtar Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Jitender Singh Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

This is the first petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for issuing direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 not to harass the petitioner illegally and not to call the petitioner in the police station again and again without any reason or rhyme at the behest of respondent Nos.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the State has submitted that in the present case, there is no complaint pending against the present petitioner. It is also submitted that earlier a complaint had been filed by the mother of the petitioner against the petitioner in which the matter has already been compromised. It is, however, submitted that in case any complaint from respondent Nos.4 to 7 with respect to the family dispute is received, the official respondents would comply with the provisions of law and would proceed in accordance with law.

CRM-M-23266-2021

Keeping in view the above facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondents to issue a notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C., in case the presence of the petitioner is required in any complaint filed by respondent Nos.4 to 7 with respect to their family dispute.

However, in case, the petitioner is involved in any FIR, the present order would not come in the way of the police to proceed against the petitioner, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

December 03, 2021.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Whether Reportable:-

Yes/No

Yes/No