

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

123

**CRWP-5408-2021(O&M)
Decided on : 16.06.2021**

NEETU AND ANOTHER

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

. . . Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL
(Through Video Conferencing)**

Present: Mr. Tarun Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

The brief facts of the case as pleaded in the petition succinctly are that family members of both the petitioners were averse to their relationship, as a result of which, the petitioners ran away and have now decided to be in a live-in relationship. The private respondents have thereafter been threatening the petitioners with dire consequences.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that they have sent a representation dated 10.06.2021 (Annexure P-3) in this regard to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Sirsa but in vain. In support of his submissions he has attached the courier receipt through which the representation was made to the Superintendent of Police, Sirsa along with the representation.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG Haryana, who is appearing through video conferencing, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Sirsa, to look into the representation dated 10.06.2021 (Annexure P-3) at the earliest qua the alleged threat perception, and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, it is clarified that this order will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter, nor would it be taken to be a stamp of this Court qua the legitimacy or otherwise of the relationship between the petitioners.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

June 16, 2021

S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*