

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-23484-2021
Date of decision: 15.12.2021**

Inderjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Tarun Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

(Through video conferencing)

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.49 dated 16.05.2021 lodged under Sections 307, 34 IPC and Sections 25, 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Makhu, District Ferozepur, Punjab.

The operative part of the order dated 18.06.2021, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“It has been urged by the learned counsel for the petitioner that it is a case of version and cross-version. It has further been submitted that as per the allegations levelled against the petitioner, he was armed with the 12 bore gun from which he fired and one shot hit the bumper of the tractor which the complainant was driving while the other shot crossed over the head of the complainant. Learned counsel further contends that in the occurrence in question the grandfather of the petitioner, who was also present at the place of occurrence, received injuries at the

hands of complainant party which finds reflected in the MLR annexed as Annexure P-3.

Notice of motion.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 18.06.2021, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation. It is further submitted that even a cancellation report is being submitted before the Illaqua Magistrate.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 18.06.2021, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

15.12.2021

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No