

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

130

**CRWP-5438-2021(O&M)
Decided on : 16.06.2021**

TULSI AND ANOTHER

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

. . . Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL
(Through Video Conferencing)**

Present: Mr. Virender Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners at the hands of respondent No.4 and 5.

The brief facts of the case as pleaded in the petition succinctly are that family members of both the petitioners were averse to their relationship, as a result of which, the petitioners ran away and have now decided to be in a live-in relationship. The private respondents have thereafter been threatening the petitioners with dire consequences.

In the circumstances, petitioners approached respondents No.2-Superintendent of Police, Panipat vide representation dated 10.06.2021 (Annexure P-3), seeking protection of their life and liberty, but in vain. In support of his submissions he has attached the copy of the courier receipt through which the representation was made, along with the representation.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG Haryana, who is appearing through video conferencing, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, District Panipat to look into the representation dated 10.06.2021 (Annexure P-3), qua the alleged threat perception, and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, it is clarified that this order will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter, nor would it be taken to be a stamp of this Court qua the legitimacy or otherwise of the relationship between the petitioners.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

June 16, 2021

S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No