

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.209

CRM-M No.23175 of 2021
DATE OF DECISION: 25.08.2021

Parmod

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Ivneet Singh Pabla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Pannu, D.A.G., Haryana.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner herein seeks the relief of regular bail in the criminal case pertaining to the FIR bearing No.89 dated 16.04.2021 registered at Police Station Line Paar, Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar, under Sections 147, 149, 307 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act wherein the offences under Section 34 IPC and Section 27 of the Arms Act are stated to have been added later-on.

Shorn and short of unnecessary details, the allegations levelled by complainant Pardeep Kumar in the subject FIR, are that he, along-with his friends named Arun and Vikas was checking the cable wires and when they reached near Nara-Narhi flyover on the motorcycle, the petitioner and his co-accused Sonu and some more boys came there and the petitioner exhorted his companions to fire shots at them and then, said Sonu fired shots at them with his weapon which hit on the number-plate of their motorcycle.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel in this petition and have also perused the file thoroughly.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in custody since 17.04.2021 and neither the complainant nor his afore-named friends suffered any injury in the alleged occurrence and moreover, the only role attributed to the petitioner in the said occurrence is that he had exhorted his co-accused to fire shots towards the complainant and his friends and the Challan has already been presented in the Court and the petitioner is not involved in any other case of similar nature and in these circumstances, he deserves the relief as prayed for in this petition.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the afore-referred factual position but he argues that in view of the gravity of the offence committed in this case, the present petition deserves dismissal.

Keeping in view the above-discussed factual position and also the fact that the trial of the case is likely to take sufficient time to conclude and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner named Parmod is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing the requisite bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

This petition stands allowed accordingly.

August 25, 2021

mani

*Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:*

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

*Yes
No*