

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22945-2021

Date of decision-23.11.2021

Swarnjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Nirmaljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab.
None for the complainant.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.84 dated 05.11.2020 under Sections 420 and 120-B Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Bajakhana, District Faridkot, Punjab. The petitioner apprehended her arrest at the hands of Police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 11.06.2021, whereby the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the dispute between the petitioner and the complainant(mother-in-law of the petitioner) stands settled by way of compromise. According to him, the petitioner & her husband have decided to dissolve the marriage by way of mutual consent.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Tejinder Singh Hundal, Advocate for complainant appears and accepts notice and does not dispute the above fact of compromise.

Adjourned to 23.11.2021.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the

event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted herself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by ASI Iqbal Singh does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation. He further states that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 11.06.2021 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

23.11.2021

vanita	Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
	Whether Reportable :	Yes	No