

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

114

CRWP-5382-2021.

Date of Decision: 28.06.2021.

Yogi Randhir Nath

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. S.K. Choudhary, Advocate for petitioner.

Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)

Case has been taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing.

This Criminal Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been moved by petitioner for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondents No.2 and 3 to consider representation dated 20.05.2021 (Annexure P/6) to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner has already made representation on 20.05.2021 to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot, District Pathankot, copy of which is available on the file as Annexure P/6, but still no action has been taken.

Notice of motion confined to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

At the asking of Court, Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to

3. Complete copy of paper book has been supplied to him.

In view of above, the present petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot, District Pathankot, to look into and decide representation dated 20.05.2021 (Annexure P/6) in accordance with law by passing speaking order and that too within six weeks positively from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

28.06.2021
jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No