

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

223

CRM-M-23001-2021

Date of decision : 28.07.2021.

Gurcharan Singh @ Monu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Anil Kumar Malik, Advocate for the complainant.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.102 dated 19.03.2021 under Sections 384, 387, 506, 120-B IPC (Sections 388, 389, 34, 392, 397 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act added later on), registered at Police Station City Thanesar, District Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that there is a delay of six days in registering the FIR wherein it is stated that the petitioner along with co-accused Amarjeet Kaur had entrapped the complainant, made a video of the occurrence and tried to blackmail him. He also contends that the petitioner is in custody for over four months.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from SI Mahender Singh, states that although challan has been filed but no prosecution witness has been examined. He also contends that car used in the occurrence, two cheques issued by the complainant and a gold chain have been recovered from the petitioner.

Heard through video conferencing.

In view of the above especially when the petitioner is in custody for over four months, the Covid-19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

July 28, 2021
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No