

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(227)

CRM-M-18598-2020(O&M)

Date of Decision: 26.07.2021

Inderjeet

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ.

Present:- Mr. Ravi Malhotra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Nagra, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. M.S. Rana, Advocate for the
complainant/respondent no.2.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ.J (Oral)

Matter has been taken up through video conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Present petition had been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C praying for anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0054 dated 1.4.2020 registered under section 498-A I.P.C at Police Station, Bhogpur, District Jalandhar.

Vide order dated 14.7.2020 passed by this Court, petitioner was granted interim bail and he was directed to join investigation.

Vide order dated 24.11.2020 passed by this Court parties were referred to the Mediation & Conciliation Centre of this Court for the purpose of an amicable settlement. On that day petitioner was directed to pay a sum of Rs.15,000/- to respondent no.2/complainant through an account payee demand draft towards litigation expenses. Thereafter, the interim order granting protection to the petitioner was ordered to be

continued.

Today, during the course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner has candidly submitted that the petitioner is neither coming forward nor he is complying with the directions given by this Court on 24.11.2020.

Learned State counsel submits that though the petitioner has joined investigation, however, challan is yet to be presented.

In view of the above circumstances, I find that this Court had shown enough indulgence keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and just to balance the equity, had directed the petitioner to pay some amount to the complainant/respondent no.2. From the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the parties, it is crystal clear that conduct of the petitioner disentitles him from the concession of interim protection granted to him by this Court vide order dated 14.7.2020.

In view of the above, present petition is dismissed.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

26.07.2021

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No