

268 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22884-2021
Date of decision: 03.09.2021

Chander Kala

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Gagan Sehgal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 1087 dated 23.12.2016, registered under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the IPC at Police Station Civil Lines, District Karnal, Haryana.

The operative part of the order dated 11.06.2021, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“The FIR was registered on the complaint of one Hari Om Attri wherein he alleged that in the year 2013, he was posted as Tehsildar/Sub Registrar, Karnal. During that period, one H.L. Sharma and Chander Kala (petitioner) got a sale deed registered in his office, pertaining to House No. 5 D, Model Town, Karnal on the basis of their identification by witnesses and after verifying their ID proofs. Later, the complainant came to know that said H.L. Sharma and Chander Kala got the sale deed registered on the basis of forged documents. In this regard, the complainant made several complaints to police, however, no action has been taken thereon. On the prayer of complainant to take necessary action against the culprits, the police started investigation. The relevant record was taken into possession. Despite efforts, original owner of said house and petitioner could not be traced

and the addresses given in sale deed were found to be fake. On notice being issued to complainant, he disclosed that he received a complaint in his office from one Gurmeet Singh Virk regarding fraudulent registration of sale deed. Thereafter, said Gurmeet Singh Virk withdrawn his complaint.

Learned counsel for petitioner has argued that petitioner is an old lady aged about 74 years. She is ready and willing to join investigation and cooperate in same.

Notice of motion. ”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner in his presence, in pursuance to the order dated 11.06.2021, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation and has given the reply to the queries put by the Investigating Officer.

Learned State counsel has filed the reply by way of an affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Gharaunda in Court and the same is taken on record and as per the affidavit, petitioner is still required for investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 11.06.2021, is made absolute subject to a condition that as and when the petitioner is required for any further investigation, she may be given a notice in writing to join the investigation.

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

03.09.2021
Chetan Thakur

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*